## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

STATE OF NEW TORK, et al.,	STATE OF NEW	YORK, et al	!.,
----------------------------	--------------	-------------	-----

**Plaintiffs** 

v.

Civil Action No. 98-1233 (CKK)

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Defendant.

## ORDER

The Court has reviewed the briefing related to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Attorneys Fees and observed that Plaintiff makes only a passing reference to its claim that it is entitled to attorneys fees under Massachusetts law, *see* Statement of Points and Authorities in Support of Mot. for Attorneys Fees and Expenses at 11-12. Defendant Microsoft notes the brevity of this briefing and concludes in its Opposition brief that Plaintiff relies solely on federal law for its arguments. Def. Opp'n at 42-43. In its Reply, Plaintiff elaborates on the state law basis for its request for fees and costs. Pl. Reply at 19-23. Therefore, it is this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of July, 2003, hereby

**ORDERED** that if Defendant Microsoft intends to address the issue of Plaintiff

Commonwealth of Massachusetts's claims for attorneys fees and costs under Massachusetts state

law, it must do so no later than July 16, 2003. If Defendant does not file additional briefing on

this matter, the Court will rely on the record provided.

SO ORDERED.

\_\_/s/\_ COLLEEN KOLLAR-KOTELLY United States District Judge